

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/054,365 11/12/2001		Carol W. Readhead	18810-81606	9234		
23595 7590 10/30/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820			WOITACH, JOSEPH T			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOI	LIS, MN 55402		1632	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/054,365	READHEAD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph T. Woitach	1632		

		Examine	Artonit					
		Joseph T. Woitach	1632					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
thi pla a l	□ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) [2] b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extension	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
nave bee under 37 set forth i may redu	on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
fili a l	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	ments he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
	They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.011),					
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)	$\prod$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
_	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''						
	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
no	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).		-	_				
ho Th	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:							
	aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: <u>183-211</u> .							
Cla	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
be	te affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but acause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a No ad sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. 🔲 Th en	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
10 T 011								
_			Joe War	Leve				
		_						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The term "xenogenic" is a new embodiemnt that requires a new search and consideration under 112, in particular for support consistant that "both" vector sequence and sequences encoding a gene product are inserted into the genome. Issues to whether the vector by itself would be considered xenogenic would also have to be considered with respect to art, and for 112 second paragraph. Further, issues of expression of the xenogenic polynucleotide and the affect will have to be considered.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the copy of the express abandonment provides evidence that obviates the double patenting rejections.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the amendment to the claims to indicate that there is a xenogenic sequence incorporated and expressed has differentiated from the cited art, and more generally from an animal infected with a lentivirus (such as a cat with FIV)(page 10 of the amendment). While the amendment has not been entered, to the extent that the arguments apply to the pending claims, it is noted that xenogenic would broadly be interpreted to encompass a sequence from another species. In this case, even a species of virus and its sequence would be considered xenogenic to a mammal. Applicants' arguments are not found persuasive because the structural limitaitons of the claims for simply having a transgene appaer to be encompassed by the claims as pending.